POTENTIAL ASSESSMENT FORM (PA) (To be accomplished by the Supervisor)

| NAME: | ANGELO B. CABABAZ | AGE: | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| PRESENT PC | OSITION: JUGGERFUND CLEYCK | SALARY: | 3 |
| | ARTMENT: DNYATA TRAINS CORPORATION | | |
| CONSIDERE | D TO THE POSITION OF: | | |
| Instruction: | | | |
| concerning h potential of ar of higher and | immediate supervisor of the candidate, you are to ratuman relations, leadership and personal attributes in individual to perform not only the duties of the positioner responsible positions. Base your rating on the responding point score. | s which would tion to be filled b | indicate thou also thos |
| LEVEL | | POINT S | CORE |
| Excellent | -a standard of performance which could not be improby any circumstances or conditions | oved 5 | |
| Good | -a standard performance above the average and meet Normal requirements of the position | s all 4 | |
| Average | -a standard of performance that meets the normal req of the position | quirements 3 | |
| Fair | -a standard of performance which is below the normal requirements of the position, but one may be regarded marginally or temporarily acceptable | | |
| Poor | -a standard or performance regarding unacceptable for Position | or the 1 | |
| I. HUMAN F | EL ATIONS | | |
| | ility to adopt/adjust to the organization | | |
| | 1.1 Is he able to adjust to the variety of personalities, Informal groups present in the organization?1.2 Does he internalize/work changes with ease and variety of personalities, Informal groups present in the organization? | | 4 |
| 2. Ab | ility to Relate to Superiors | vigor; | -4 |
| | 2.1 How does he respond to your requests, demands, expectations? | and | 4 |
| | 2.2 Does he appraise you of the significant problems work their causes to correct them? | in his | 3 |
| | 2.3 In the face of difference in behavior between him | and you, | // |
| | can he maintain in his individual point of view? | | 4 |
| 3. Abi | lity to interface with peers 3.1 Does he behave the respect and acceptance of his | neers? | 4 |
| | 3.2 Does he try to help his peers in clarifying points t | they are | |
| | trying to solve? | | 4 |

| 4. Ability to Deal with the Clientele/Public | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|
| 4.1 Is he always cordial and respectful in dealing with | | | |
| transacting public? | | | |
| 4.2 Does he show enthusiasm in providing the clients public the necessary advice and assistance they sought for? | 4 | | |
| 5. Leadership ability | | | |
| 5.1 Is he able to encourage his peers and subordinates to | | | |
| contribute and participate in problem-solving and decision- making? | 4 | | |
| 5.2 Can he influence your thinking attitude and behavior and that of his peers? | 3 | | |
| 5.3 When assigned with adhoc external groups, does he lead | 4 | | |
| the members to do willingly the assigned tasks/projects? | | | |
| 5.4 When assigned to be a leader/chairman of the working | 3.0 | | |
| group, does he assume responsibility for the work of the other members? | 4 | | |
| II. PERSONAL QUALIFICATION AND ATTRIBUTES | | | |
| 1. Integrity and Innovativeness | | | |
| 1.1 Is he intellectually critical of existing standards, system And policies? | 4 | | |
| 1.2 Does he take the initiative to organize or develop programs systems, procedures and standards that will benefit the organizations? | 4 | | |
| 2. Stress Tolerance | | | |
| 2.1 Does he have a high degree of tolerance for tension | | | |
| resulting from increasing volume of work, organizational change, environmental conflict, etc? | 4 | | |
| 2.2 Is he able to control and handle his anger and negative emotions? | 4 | | |
| 2.3 Does he accept criticism objectively whether from his subordinates, peers or superiors? | 4 | | |
| 3. Decisiveness | | | |
| 3.1 When you seek help from him in solving problems, does | | | |
| he submit considered analysis of alternatives and recommend suggestions for solutions? | 3 | | |
| 3.2 When he need to make a decision immediately, is he able to | 2 | | |
| act quickly and make sure the best decisions possible? | | | |
| TOTAL POINT SCORE | 76 | | |
| | | | |

Rated by:

supervisor/Chief of Office

Posignation/Position Title