

## THE HEAD FOR PROCUREMENT

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINES Telefax: +63 53 563-7190 VolP: 053 565 0600 local 1093 Email: procurement@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## COMPUTATION OF FINAL INDIVIDUAL RATING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Annex P

Name of Administrative Staff:

**JESSAMINE C. ECLEO** 

	Particulars (1)	Numerical Rating (2)	Percentage Weight (3)	Equivalent Numerical Rating (2x3)
1.	Numerical Rating per IPCR	4.92	70%	3.444
2.	Supervisor/Head's assessment of his contribution towards attainment of office accomplishments	5.00	30%	1.50
		TOTAL NUM	IERICAL RATING	4.94

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

4.94

Add: Additional Approved Points, if any:

0.0 4.94

TOTAL NUMERICAL RATING:

FINAL NUMERICAL RATING

4.94

ADJECTIVAL RATING:

Outstanding

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Director, ODAS

Recommending Approval:

VP for Admin. & Finance

Approved:



I, JESSAMINE C. ECLEO of the Office of the Head for Procurement	commits to deliver and agree to the rated on the attainment of the following targets in accordance with the indicated measures for the
periodJuly_ toDecember 2022	$\mathcal{M}$ .
Approved:	Non-

JESSAMINE C. ECLEO

Ratee

RYSAN C. GUINOCOR 1 28 23
Immediate Supervisor

			Acomplishments		Percent	Rating				Remarks	
MFOs & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Accomplishment	Q <sup>1</sup>	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Kemarks	
OVPAF STO 1: ISO 9	001:2015 Aligned Document	s									
PI 1: ISO 9001:2015 aligned documens and	A1. Clients served rated the services received at least very satisfactory	T1. Rating from clients served on services related to procurement planning, BAC secretariat, and contract management	Very Satisfactory	Very Satisfactory	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00		
compliant processes		T2. Number of QPs registered/revised	1	2	200.0%	5	5	4	4.67	Procurement Planning, Evaluation of Suppliers	
		T3. Number of procurement process implemented according to QPs	2	2	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00		
OVPAF STO 3: ARTA	aligned compliance and rep	orting requirements	1								
PI 1: ARTA aligned frontline services	A1. ARTA aligned frontline services	<u>T1</u> .: Number of complaints from clients in relation to efficient and customer friendly services	0 complaint	0 complaint	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00		
PAF STO 4: Innov	vations & Best Practices							,			
PI 1: New Systems/ Innovations/Propos als introduced and implemented	A1: New Systems/ Innovations/Proposals introduced and implemented	T1: No. of new QPs drafted and forwarded to ODQA for registration	1 QP	2 QPs	200.0%	5	5	5	5.00	Procurement Planning, Evaluation of Suppliers	
OVPAF GASS 1: Adr	ninistrative and Support Ser	vices Management									
PI 1: Administrative and Support	A1: Administrative and Support Services	T1: Number of university committees/association involvement	1 committee	3	300.0%	5	5	5	5.00	BAC, PBB Compliance, AdPA	
Services Management	Management	T2: Number of procurement-related documents posted in the Transparency Seal/VSU Website (APP, APCPI, PMR, Procurement Projects)	6	10	130.0%	5	5	5	5.00	7 APPs, 2 PMRs, 1 APCP	
	A1. Efficient office & staff	T1. No. of units/sections directly supervised	3	3	100.0%	5	4	5	4.67		
	management	T2. No. of procurement staff directly supervised	10	11	110.0%	4	5	5	4.67		
OVPAF MFO 6: PRO	CUREMENT SERVICES										
AS GASS 3: Procu	rement Services										
Procurement Services	A1. Procurement Planning & Management	T1. Number of PPMPs, including supplemental and amendment, supervised for review, evaluation and acceptance	400 PPMPs	467	100.2%	5	5	5	5.00		

MFOs & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tools Assistand	Acomplishments		Percent		R	ating		
III OS GITAFS	Success mulcators	Tasks Assigned	Target	Actual	Accomplishment	Q¹	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
		<u>T2.</u> Number of annualy updated suppliers/contractors/consultants' registry reviewed	1 registry	1	100.0%	5	4	5	4.67	on-going updating
		T3. Number of APP reviewed and endorsed to the BAC and HoPE for approval, and submitted to GPPB within the deadline	1 APP	1	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T4. Number of Supplemental APP reviewed and endorsed to the BAC and HoPE for approval	2 Supplement al APPs	4	150.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T5. Number of Procurement Monitoring Report prepared, endorsed for approval, and submitted to GPPB within the deadline	1 PMRs	2 PMRs	100.0%	4	5	5	4.67	1 PMR for 2nd Sem of 2021, 1 PMR for 1st Sem of 2022
	A2. Support Service to the BAC	<u>T1.</u> Number of PRs supervised for review, acceptance, consolidation by nature of items, and monitoring	800 PRs	995 PRs	101.8%	5	5	5	5.00	
		<u>T2.</u> Number of Invitation to Bid for Competitive Bidding prepared	20	33 ITBs	110.0%	5	5	5	5.00	GOODS-22-39 to 59, GOODS-22-32R, INFRA-22 03 to 04
		<u>T3.</u> Number of Bidding Documents for Competitive Bidding prepared	20	33 BDs	110.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		<u>T4.</u> Number of Bid Bulletin for Competitive Bidding reviewed	20	40	200.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T5. Number of Abstract of Bids (As Awarded) for Competitive Bidding prepared	30	31	115.0%	5	5	5	5.00	2 projects not awarded yet
		<u>T6.</u> Number of Bid Evaluation Report for Competitive Bidding reviewed	30	33	115.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T7. Number of Post-Qualification Evaluation Report for Competitive Bidding prepared	30	60	115.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T8. Number of BAC Resolutions prepared	180	304	191.0%	5	5	5	5.00	includes CB & AMP
		T9. Number of Request for Quotations for Alternative Method reviewed and approved	550	2094	380.7%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T11. Number of Abstract of Bids for Alternative Method reviewed	200	706	154.5%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T12. Number of Purchase Order reviewed	325	826	117.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T13. Number of BAC meetings facilitated and attended	60	96	160.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
)	A3. Contract Management	T1. Number of on-going Purchase Orders (POs)/Contracts supervised for monitoring	415	961	136.8%	5	4	5	4.67	
		T2. Number of vouchers for completed POs/contracts that are endorsed for payment reviewed and approved	300	517	144.5%	5	5	5	5.00	

MFOs & PAPs	Success Indicators	Tasks Assigned	Acompl	ishments	Percent		R	ating		
Processor State of the Control of th			Target	Actual	Accomplishment	Q1	E <sup>2</sup>	T <sup>3</sup>	A <sup>4</sup>	Remarks
		T3. Number of vouchers for payment of refund of retention money/warranty, mobilization (for infra), and other payables reviewed and approved	14	55	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	
		T4. Number of completed contracts of Suppliers/External Service Providers in the registry supervised for conduct of performance evaluation	250	249	108.0%	5	4	5	4.67	
		T5. Number of Summary of Evaluation of Suppliers reviewed and forwarded to the Bids and Awards Committee for their reference	1	1	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	Summary for Jul-Dec 202
		T6. Number of Agency Procurement Compliance and Performance Indicator Report (APCPI) prepared and submitted to GPPB within the deadline	1	1	100.0%	5	4	5	4.67	APCPI for FY 2021
		T7. Number of reports for publicized projects reviewed	2	2	100.0%	5	5	5	5.00	3rd & 4th Qtr of 2022 reports
Total Overall Rating									162.33	
Average Rating (Total Over-a Additional Points:	all rating devided by # of	entries)	4.9	92			Comments	& Recomm	endations for	Development Purpose:
Punctuality										
pproved Additional poin	nts (with conv of	-		-	1					
INAL RATING	the copy of	<del> </del>	4.9	-	-					
ADJECTIVAL RATING			Outsta	and the same of th	-					
			Outsta	mumg	1		1			

Evaluated & Rated by:

Recommending Approval:

Approved by:

RYSAN C GUINOCOR Immediate Supervisor

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN VP, Admin. & Finance

DANIEL LESLIE S. TAN VP, Admin. & Finance

Outstanding



## THE HEAD FOR **PROCUREMENT**

Visca, Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINES Telefax: +63 53 563-7190 VoIP: 053 565 0600 local 1093 Email: procurement@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

## Instrument for Performance Effectiveness of Administrative Staff

Rating Period:

July - December 2022

Name of Staff:

JESSAMINE C. ECLEO

Position: Information Systems Analyst I

Instruction to supervisor: Please evaluate the effectiveness of your subordinate in contributing towards attainment of the calibrated targets of your department/office/center/college/campus using the scale below. Encircle your rating.

Scale	Descriptive Rating	Qualitative Description
5	Outstanding	The performance almost always exceeds the job requirements. The staff delivers outputs which always results to best practice of the unit. He is an exceptional role model
4	Very Satisfactory	The performance meets and often exceeds the job requirements
3	Satisfactory	The performance meets job requirements
2	Fair	The performance needs some development to meet job requirements.
1	Poor	The staff fails to meet job requirements

2.74	Commitment (both for subordinates and supervisors)			Sca	le	
1.	Demonstrates sensitivity to client's needs and makes the latter's experience in transacting business with the office fulfilling and rewarding.	(3	4	3	2	1
2.	Makes self-available to clients even beyond official time	(5)	4	3	2	1
3	Submits urgent non-routine reports required by higher offices/agencies such as CHED, DBM, CSC, DOST, NEDA, PASUC and similar regulatory agencies within specified time by rendering overtime work even without overtime pay	5	4	3	2	1
4.	Accepts all assigned tasks as his/her share of the office targets and delivers outputs within the prescribed time.	Ó	4	3	2	1
5.	Commits himself/herself to help attain the targets of his/her office by assisting co- employees who fail to perform all assigned tasks	(5)	4	3	2	1
6.	Regularly reports to work on time, logs in upon arrival, secures pass slip when going out on personal matters and logs out upon departure from work.	6	4	3	2	1
7.	Keeps accurate records of her work which is easily retrievable when needed.	(5)	4	3	2	1
8.	Suggests new ways to further improve her work and the services of the office to its clients	6	4	3	2	1
9	Accepts additional tasks assigned by the head or by higher offices even if the assignment is not related to his position but critical towards the attainment of the functions of the university	(3)	4	3	2	1
10.	Maximizes office hours during lean periods by performing non-routine functions the outputs of which results as a best practice that further increase effectiveness of the office or satisfaction of clientele	5	4	3	2	1
11.	Accepts objective criticisms and opens to suggestions and innovations for improvement of his work accomplishment	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Willing to be trained and developed	(5)	4	3	2	1

	Total Score					
B. L	eadership & Management (For supervisors only to be rated by higher supervisor)		(	Scal	е	
1.	Demonstrates mastery and expertise in all areas of work to gain trust, respect and confidence from subordinates and that of higher superiors	(5)	4	3	2	I
2.	Visionary and creative to draw strategic and specific plans and targets of the office/department aligned to that of the overall plans of the university.	6	4	3	2	T
3.	Innovates for the purpose of improving efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes and functions of the department/office for further satisfaction of clients.	(5)	4	3	2	
4.	Accepts accountability for the overall performance and in delivering the output required of his/her unit.	(5)	4	3	2	
5.	Demonstrates, teaches, monitors, coaches and motivates subordinates for their improved efficiency and effectiveness in accomplishing their assigned tasks needed for the attainment of the calibrated targets of the unit	(5)	4	3	2	
	Total Score					
	Average Score					

Overall recommendation

: Perendable

RYSAN C. GUINOCOR Immediate Supervisor

No. 70 -61