



JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

 Name of Job Order Worker: Linus M. Masandag

 Equivalent Job Title: Science Research Assistant *Media Production Specialist*

 Name of Evaluator: Dr. Antonio P. Abamo

 Date: December 23, 2024

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent

4 – Very Good

3 – Good

2 – Fair

1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs	/					
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients		/				
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	/					
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	/					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

Talented in his craft

What are the employee's weak points?

Lack of flexibility in his work


What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?

more exposure in multitasking work

Final recommendation:


☒ renewal of the contract for another ____ months
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:


(Evaluator)

ANTONIO P. ABANO SS
DIRECTOR FOR EXTENSION

Approved:


(Next higher supervisor)
SANTIAGO T. VENERA, JR. SS
VP FOR R&D