





DEPARTMENOFMETEOROLOGY

1/F Annex Engineering Building Visca Baybay City, Leyte, PHILIPPINES Phone: +63 53 565 0600 local 1106 Email: meteorology@vsu.edu.ph Website: www.vsu.edu.ph

JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: Emmanuel P. Lesidan

Equivalent Job Title: **Laboratory Technician**

Name of Evaluator: Charlie S. Andan Date: January 11, 2023

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent 4 - Very Good 3 – Good 2 – Fair 1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating				Comments	
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					All good.
Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					All good
Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs	/					All good
Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	/					Very attentive
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	/					Outstanding, can perform the job with less supervision
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					All good
Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	/					All good
Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					Impressive
Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					Impressive

Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/	Impressive, always accept task without
		complaining
Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations: All g	good overall.	
What are the employee's strong points?		
He performs his duties with less supervision. He can mu		
a department messenger at time, and for being an admir		
perform some job/tasks not assigned to him.		
What are the employee's weak points?		
So far none.		
What intervention would you recommend to make the JC) worker more effe	ective?
None as for since he is very knowledge on the took sive	un to bino	
None so far, since he is very knowledge on the task give	en to mim.	
Final recommendation:		
I renewal of the contract for another. 6 months		
_I renewal of the contract for another _6 months_ non-renewal of the contract due to below par perfor	mance	
non renewal of the contract add to below par perion	Harloo	
Certified Correct:	Approv	ed:
Continue Contoot.	7.ppi0v	ou.
OLIABLIE O ANDAN		, DECUBE
CHARLIE S. ANDAN		C. BECURE
Head, DMEt(Evaluator)	Dean, CET(Nex	t higher supervisor)

Vision: Mission: