



JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: Noreve Jean M. Agad

Equivalent Job Title: Chemical Technician – JO

Name of Evaluator: Dr. Elizabeth S. Quevedo

Date: December 22, 2021

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent

4 – Very Good

3 – Good

2 – Fair

1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	✓					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	✓					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs	✓					
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	✓					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	✓					
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	✓					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	✓					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	✓					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	✓					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	✓					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points? ^{laboratory}
Willingness to learn new techniques and easy to get along with.


What are the employee's weak points? ^{attends}
He should continue to be updated and retraining on laboratory instrument operation and troubleshooting.

What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?
Regular attendance to training-workshop on laboratory equipment operation and troubleshooting

Final recommendation:

☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:


Dr. ELIZABETH S. QUEVEDO
Head, DoPAC

Approved:

Dr. MA. THERESA P. LORETO
Dean, CAS