



JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: GRETCHEN MAE M. PRADO

Equivalent Job Title: LAB.TECH

Name of Evaluator: ULYSSES A. CAGASAN

Date: JANUARY 04, 2022

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent

4 – Very Good

3 – Good

2 – Fair

1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs	/					
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	/					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	/					
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	/					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

She is hard working and industrious

What are the employee's weak points?

Needs updated information on lab facility maintenance


What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?

Encourage to attend online training re: lab maintenance

Final recommendation:

☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:


ULYSSES A. CAGASAN
(Evaluator)

Approved:

VICTOR B. ASIO
(Next higher supervisor)



JOB ORDER (JO) WORKER EVALUATION FORM

Name of Job Order Worker: MILESA C MARSADO

Equivalent Job Title: CLERK

Name of Evaluator: ULYSSES A. CAGASAN

Date: JANUARY 04, 2022

Instruction to evaluators: Please write your comments on the performance and work ethics of the above JO worker and give your ratings by checking the appropriate number using the rating scale below:

5 – Excellent

4 – Very Good

3 – Good

2 – Fair

1 – Poor

Criteria/evaluation statement	Rating					Comments
	5	4	3	2	1	
I. Work Performance						
1. Performance of all mandated functions as listed in the contract	/					
2. Over all attainment of outputs agreed with supervisor	/					
3. Quality and timeliness in the attainment of agreed outputs	/					
4. Efficiency and customer friendly frontline service to clients	/					
5. Knowledge on the over-all aspect of the job assignments	/					
II. Work Ethics/Attitude						
1. Industriousness - setting clear & attainable objectives & taking targets seriously and responsibly	/					
2. Diligence and justice at work - prompt in accomplishing assigned tasks and submission of good quality outputs	/					
3. Responsibility - having the right intention, with a sense of duty and accepts all jobs assigned by the supervisor	/					
4. Practices teamwork - understanding and performing his/her role effectively and synergistically, share knowledge and provide a lending hand to needy co-worker	/					
5. Commitment to public service – reporting on time and willingly extend service if needed without thinking of additional compensation	/					

Evaluator's additional comments/recommendations:

What are the employee's strong points?

She is responsible and have good attitude towards work - the office

What are the employee's weak points?

More trainings

What intervention would you recommend to make the JO worker more effective?


Advise to attend workshops/seminars on work management in the office

Final recommendation:

- ☒ renewal of the contract for another 6 months
☐ non-renewal of the contract due to below par performance

Certified Correct:

Approved:


ULYSSES A. CAGASAN
(Evaluator)

VICTOR B. ASIO
(Next higher supervisor)

Vision:
Mission:

A globally competitive university for science, technology, and environmental conservation.
Development of a highly competitive human resource, cutting-edge scientific knowledge and innovative technologies for sustainable communities and environment.

Page 2 of 2
FM-PRO-16
v1 05-27-2020

No. DA-EVRL-22-01